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Executive Summary

This report is prepared by the Public Service Commission of Maryland (“Commission”) in compliance with Public Utilities Article §7-306(i), Annotated Code of Maryland. Section 7-306(i) requires the Commission to report on the amount of capacity by type of energy resource from net-metered facilities in the State and recommend whether the cap on eligible capacity should be altered. This is the fourth report prepared by the Commission. The initial report was produced in 2008.

At this time, the Commission does not recommend changes to the eligibility cap for net metering. The current eligible limit of 1,500 megawatts (“MW”) far exceeds the level of installed capacity of approximately 31.7 MW. There has been an increase in the number of recent installations; however, it is unlikely that the current cap would be approached without several years of advance notice.

Net Metering in Maryland

Net metering is a method of simplifying the measurement of energy produced by a renewable energy generator when it is connected to an electric utility distribution system. Net energy metering utilizes the existing meter for all calculations avoiding the expense of a second meter.¹ Net metering is permitted by law for solar, wind, biomass, micro combined heat and power, fuel cell and closed conduit hydroelectric generators that are intended primarily to supply the customer’s annual energy usage.² The term “net metering” refers to measurement of electricity on the basis that is net of energy used and produced by an eligible customer-generator during a single reading period, e.g., one month. As discussed further below, the terms of utility tariffs typically require a customer to pay the monthly customer charge, regardless of the amount of energy produced. However, for energy billed, the customer pays only for energy that is used, netted against any generation produced by the customer. The practical effect of this policy is to allow customers to use the utility grid as battery storage, so that excess energy produced at any given instant can be captured for later use. The law also provides for monetary payment for net excess generation when the customer terminates service or at the end of the net metering year.³ The dollar value of net excess generation is equal to

¹ The use of two meters is not prohibited, and at least one Maryland electric utility uses two meters.
² There were several amendments to Section 7-306 that were enacted in the 2011 legislative session. Also, during 2011, the Commission conducted a rulemaking session which resulted in COMAR 20.50.10.01D(1)(b) which states that an eligible customer-generator’s proposed electric generating system may not exceed 200 percent of the eligible customer-generator’s baseline annual usage.
³ Section 7-306(f)(6) states: (i) On or before 30 days after the billing cycle that is complete immediately prior to the end of April of each year, the electric company shall pay each eligible customer–generator for the dollar value of any accrued net excess generation remaining at the end of the previous 12–month period ending with the billing cycle that is complete immediately prior to the end of April.
   (ii) Within 15 days after the date the eligible customer–generator closes the eligible customer–generator’s account, the electric company shall pay the eligible customer–generator for the dollar value of any accrued net excess generation remaining at the time the eligible customer–generator closes the account.
the generation or commodity portion of the rate that the eligible customer-generator
would have been charged by the electric company averaged over the previous 12-month
period multiplied by the number of kilowatt hours of net excess generation.

Eligible customer-generators\(^4\) also benefit by less expensive interconnection with the
utility, \textit{e.g.}, only a single standard meter and without additional switches. In this manner,
electricity needs in excess of the renewable output can be obtained from the grid without
having to disconnect or shut down the renewable generator. The ease of interconnection
allows the customer to use the renewable generator in a grid-connected manner without
significant installation or operating expense, thus improving the benefit of the renewable
generator.

While the net-metering law in PUA §7-306 permits renewable net-metering, utilities
implement net metering operations through tariffs that are filed with the Commission.
These tariffs place terms and conditions on the net-metering operations and specify
monthly customer charges. These tariffs also include requirements for eligibility which
cap the maximum installed size as well as the State-wide limit. Any change to the Statute
requires each utility to revise its tariff and file the revision with the Commission.

\section*{Eligibility Cap}

Electric companies are required to permit net metering for eligible customers. The
current limit on eligible renewable generation capacity in the State is 1,500 MW. This
limit represents about 8 percent of the peak demand, which is approximately 20,000 MW
in the State. The generating capacity of an electric generating system used by an eligible
customer-generator for net metering may not exceed 2 megawatts.

\section*{Current Level of Renewable Deployment}

Commission Staff surveyed Maryland electric companies for the number of net-metered
facilities currently operating in each electric company distribution service territory. The
total amount of generation has increased from approximately 364 kW in 2007 to 2,450
kW and 13,555 kW\(^5\) in 2008 and 2009, respectively, to 31,739 kW through the end of
June 2011. The table below shows the results of the Commission Staff’s survey of net

---

\(^4\) “Eligible customer-generator” means a customer that owns and operates, leases and operates, or contracts
with a third party that owns and operates a biomass, micro combined heat and power, solar, fuel cell, wind
or closed conduit hydro electric generating facility that: (i) is located on the customers premises or
contiguous property; (ii) is interconnected and operated in parallel with an electric company’s transmission
and distribution facilities; and (iii) is intended primarily to offset all or part of the customer’s own
electricity requirements. See PUA §7-306(a) (4).

\(^5\) The State total for 2009 shown in this Report differs from the State total shown for 2009 in last year’s
Report due to the inclusion of data for 2009 that was late-filed. Certain percentages shown in this Report
differ from the percentages shown in last year’s Report due to miscalculations which have since been
corrected.
metered installations through June 30, 2011 as compared with net-metered installations through the calendar year ending December 31, 2010.⁶

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electric Utility</th>
<th>Solar</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>MicroCHP</th>
<th>Utility Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>kW Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A &amp; N Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>no data submitted</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Gas and Electric Company</td>
<td>11,620</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11,748</td>
<td>131%</td>
<td>6,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choptank Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delmarva Power and Light Company</td>
<td>2,827</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,935</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton Utilities Commission</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>206%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagerstown Municipal Light Company</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3,385%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurmont Municipal Light Company</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Berlin</td>
<td>no data submitted</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potomac Electric Power Company</td>
<td>4,948</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,948</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>1,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Potomac Edison Company</td>
<td>4,393</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,629</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>2,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsport Electric Light Company</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Maryland Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Total</td>
<td>24,628</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25,214</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>11,659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electric Utility</th>
<th>Solar</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>MicroCHP</th>
<th>Utility Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>kW Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Gas and Electric Company</td>
<td>14,819</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,926</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>3,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choptank Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>274%</td>
<td>844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delmarva Power and Light Company</td>
<td>4,101</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,267</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>1,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton Utilities Commission</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>113%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagerstown Municipal Light Company</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurmont Municipal Light Company</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Berlin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potomac Electric Power Company</td>
<td>6,426</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,428</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Potomac Edison Company</td>
<td>3,224</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,368</td>
<td>-27%</td>
<td>-1,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsport Electric Light Company</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Maryland Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,531</td>
<td>158%</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Total</td>
<td>30,905</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31,739</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6,527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁶ The previous Reports have shown data for a single calendar year. Due to a statutory change in the filing date, the instant Report includes data for the previous calendar year plus the first six months of 2011. Future Reports will include data for the previous 12-month period ending June 30.

⁷ The 2011 net metering data received from The Potomac Edison Company (“PE”) did not include pending projects. In previous reports, this data was submitted by PE and included in this Report. For this reason, the data for PE is not directly comparable with previous Reports.
Recommendation on Eligibility Cap

As of June 2011, the level of installed capacity is 2.1 percent of the current limit. At this time, the Commission does not view the 1,500 MW limit as a barrier to installation of new renewable generation. The net-metering survey asked for information on the date of installation. This information indicates an increase in new renewable capacity in recent years. However, the rate of installation does not indicate that the cap would be approached in the near future.

New Net Metering Regulations COMAR 20.50.10

COMAR 20.50.10, which promotes the deployment of net metered facilities and simplifies the requirements for customer interconnection, was adopted as final and became effective on September 1, 2011. The new regulations address the allowed size for net metering eligibility as a multiple of customer load and establish aggregate net metering for agricultural, municipal, and non-profit customers. The Commission’s Technical Staff has convened a stakeholder Net Metering Working Group (“NMWG”) to aid utilities, installers, and customers in the implementation of the new regulations and to address any additional technical issues that may arise as each utility drafts and files revised net metering tariffs. The Commission has also republished related regulations to comply with recent legislation which altered the annual payout mechanism for net metering customers that produce excess generation.

**Eligible Customer Size** Under the new regulations, a customer may net meter using facilities that are sized to produce up to 200 percent of a customer’s annual baseline kWh use. The NMWG is addressing issues relating to appropriate estimation of load when historical load data is not available.

**Aggregate Net Metering** Aggregation of net metered loads is the practice of combining meter readings from more than one utility service point. Utilities can provide this service by using physical interconnection of service points or by summing the total usage from two or more meters (virtual aggregation). Only certain types of customers are permitted to use this service. Agricultural, municipal (including county government), and non-profit (e.g. churches or schools) entities are permitted under the regulations. The NMWG is currently working to identify and resolve technical issues pertaining to aggregation. The practice of aggregation may provide increased incentives for system deployment by providing greater economies of scale for installations and allowing a customer to make the most efficient use of existing solar or wind resources. An agricultural application of aggregate net metering would be combining the load on the farm’s barn, outbuildings, and residence. A solar array may be installed on a barn which would normally have excellent sun exposure, although little in the way of usage. Joining the load of the residence (which may have less roof area or be in a shady location) and
out buildings to the load of the barn would make the installation practical and cost effective for the customer.

**Other Issues**

At this time, the Commission has not identified other matters relating to the net-metering eligibility limit or other issues relating to net-metering that require the action of the General Assembly.